
Peking vs. PI88788, Every Soybean Plot.

Each variety as a percent of its plot's average, grouped by SCN resistance source. pk = Peking. Stine "R" varieties are PI88788.

PLOT	PEKING pk			PI88788			PEKING − PI88788	AVG RM
	#	% OF AVG	ABOVE AVG	#	% OF AVG	ABOVE AVG	PTS	PK / PI
Danforth soybean plot	8	105.9%	7 of 8	20	97.7%	5 of 20	+8.2	2.7 / 3.2
Danforth Stine PDP	3	103.4%	2 of 3	17	99.0%	7 of 17	+4.4	2.6 / 3.1
Chenoa	9	101.1%	5 of 9	14	99.1%	7 of 14	+2.0	2.8 / 3.2
Cabery	7	101.1%	4 of 7	17	99.6%	9 of 17	+1.5	2.8 / 3.2
All four plots							+4.0	

EVERY VARIETY, % OF PLOT AVERAGE



WHAT WE OBSERVED

- Peking varieties averaged +4.0 percentage points above PI88788 varieties across the four plots, and were ahead in 4 of 4.
- Top Peking results: 2697E 115% (Danforth); 32EJ12 109% (Danforth); 32EJ12 108% (Cabery).
- The Peking group is smaller and earlier on average, and SCN wasn't measured in these fields — this shows where varieties landed, not why.

SCN source from the AgTech 2027 product reference; Stine lists "R" (resistant), shown here as PI88788. 3115XF (PI88788 + Peking) is not grouped. Danforth plots use the non-treated side; seed-treatment entries are left off.